

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No.	SACV 24-00567-JVS-JDEx	Date	April 2, 2024
Title	Zerorez Franchising Systems Inc. et al v. Zero Residue Carpet Cleaning et al		

Present: The Honorable JAMES V. SELNA, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Erica Bustos for Elsa Vargas

Deputy Clerk

Not Reported

Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

None Present

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

None Present

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE DISMISSAL FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

On March 18, 2024, the Clerk of Court filed a Notice to Counsel Re: Copyright, Patent, and Trademark Reporting Requirements, directing Plaintiff to file the appropriate AO-120 and/or AO-121 form with the Clerk within 10 days. (Dkt. 9.) The record reflects counsel has not filed the required forms at this time. (See *generally* Dkt.) Accordingly, Plaintiff is **ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE** in writing on or before **April 9, 2024, at 12:00 p.m.**, why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff may discharge this order by filing the forms required by the Central District of California's Local Rule 3-1. Failure to comply with the court's order may result in dismissal. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); *Link v. Wabash R.R.*, 370 U.S. 626, 629 (1962) ("The authority of a federal trial court to dismiss a plaintiffs action with prejudice because of his failure to prosecute cannot seriously be doubted."); *Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. U.S. Forest Serv.*, 403 F.3d 693, 689 (9th Cir. 2005) ("[C]ourts may dismiss under Rule 41(b) sua sponte, at least under certain circumstances."); *Ash v. Cvetkov*, 739 F.2d 493, 496 (9th Cir. 1984) ("It is within the inherent power of the court to sua sponte dismiss a case for lack of prosecution.").

Initial of Deputy Clerk

- : -
_____ eb _____
